UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| Roberto Celestino, Geraldo Pacheco, Jose Montejano and Geraldo M. Celestino | CASE NO. C 08 00994 JW |
|--|---|
| Plaintiff(s), | |
| v. The Wildcat Vineyards LLC DBA Sarah's Vineyard, And Does 1 To 10 | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS |
| Defendant(s). | |
| Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5: | |
| The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process: | |
| Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.I. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) Settlement Conference (Pursuant to (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than a ADR phone conference and may not file this form ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16- | (ADR L.R. 5) ADR Phone Conference) Int conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR, must participate in an In. They must instead file a Notice of Need for |
| Private Process: Private ADR (please identify proce | ess and provider) |
| The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dea referring the case to an ADR procedure) other requested deadline | |
| Dated: | |
| | Attorney for Plaintiff |
| Dated: 01-05-09 | /5/ Attorney for Defendant |

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

X SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Deadline for ADR session

X 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: ______

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE